



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,525	08/26/2005	Cedric Szpirer	VANM261.001APC	5339

20995 7590 06/14/2010
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

VOGEL, NANCY TREPTOW

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1636

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/14/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/526,525	Applicant(s) SZPIRER ET AL.	
	Examiner NANCY VOGEL	Art Unit 1636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-21 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-12, 22-25, 27-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, 8-40 are pending in the case.

Claims 13-21, 26 are withdrawn.

Any rejection of record in the previous action not addressed in this office action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-5, 12, 22, 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-5, 22, 28-40 are vague and indefinite since it is not clear in each of these claims, whether the limitation recited in each of the claims is intended to modify one, or all three, of the three different constructs recited in claim 1, on which the rejected claims depend. For example, claim 2 recites the genetic construct of claim 1 comprising a first promoter/activator sequence upstream of a first poison protein encoding sequence and a second nucleotide sequence encoding an antidote to a second poison protein, and it is not clear whether it is intended that these elements are additionally included in for example, the first, second, and third, or only one of, the recited alternatives in claim 1, etc. Therefore it cannot be determined what the intended metes and bounds of the claims are.

Art Unit: 1636

Claim 12 is vague and indefinite in the recitation of “wherein said cells are expressing one or more of said poison proteins or antidotes to the said one or more poison proteins”, since it is not clear whether the cells express the recited proteins after transformation , or before.

Claims 27, 29, 35, 40 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of “foreign target nucleotide sequence”. It is not clear what is intended by this phrase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 27, 29, 35, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as now claimed: “ “lacking a foreign target nucleotide sequence” . This a new matter rejection. The specification does not provide sufficient blazemarks nor direction for the instant methods encompassing the above-mentioned limitations, as currently recited. The instant claims now recite limitations which were not clearly disclosed in the specification as-filed, and now change the scope of the instant disclosure as-filed. Such

Art Unit: 1636

limitations recited in the present claims, which did not appear in the specification, as filed, introduce new concepts and violate the description requirement of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 8-12, 23- 25, 27, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gabant et al.. (WO 02 066657).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention “by another,” or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

This rejection is maintained essentially for the reasons made of record, with slight alterations necessitated by applicant's amendments to the claims:

Art Unit: 1636

Gabant et al. disclose a nucleic acid construct comprising a nucleic acid sequence (2) made of a nucleic acid sequence 3, encoding an antidote protein 4 (CcdA protein) to a toxic molecule (5) (CcdB protein) and a gene of interest (6), and a promoter/operator sequence (9); said cassette sequence (2) being disposed between a first and second recombination site (7) (8), which do not recombine with each other. Said nucleic acid construct (1) is integrated into a further vector which is an insert donor DNA vector (10) comprising a further selectable marker (11) (Kid protein). The insert donor DNA vector 10 could be amplified in a bacteria which is resistant to the activity of the first selectable marker 11 (kid protein), for instance , a bacteria expressing the antidote protein kis to the protein poison kid. This means that the endogenous kid promoter must be active in said bacteria. An origin and antibiotic resistance marker is present (14). The figure 1 shows plasmids which meet the limitation of the claims. The wording of claim 1: "A genetic construct comprised of a promoter/activator sequence (kid promoter in the reference) disposed upstream of a first nucleotide sequence encoding a first poison molecule (Kid protein in the reference) and a second nucleotide sequence encoding an antidote to a second toxic molecule (CcdA protein in the reference) different from said first poison protein, wherein said first nucleotide sequence encoding a first poison protein is flanked by recombination sites or restriction sites", is disclosed in Fig. 1. The poison protein encoding gene is flanked by restriction sites, since it is present in a plasmid vector having restriction sites throughout the sequence of the vector.

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY VOGEL whose telephone number is (571)272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 3:30, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NANCY VOGEL/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636

NV
6/6/10